

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,477 .	07/06/2001	Jung-Hong Kao	M-12276 US	4181	
33031	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER		
	STEPHENSON ASC	CHO, HONG SOL			
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. BLDG. 4, SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX			2662		
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<i>1</i> 0					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/900,477	KAO ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hong Cho	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress			
 THE REPLY FILED <u>on 11/02/2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o			andonment of			
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which FR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of this Adv		a final rejection, whicheve	riciator Inno			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILED	WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			pecausė			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	,	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	· ·	educina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •		(BTOL 204)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	• •	. timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,10-12 and 22</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-9 and 13-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			,			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by applicants' arguments are not persuasive.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13.	9	Khil	•			
	ζ	N D D				
	J PRIN	OHN PEZZLO MARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1 and 21 have been amended by explicitly claiming the specific order of identifying one of the first and second rings as being associated with the shortest path and then determining if the identified one of the first and second rings is more congested than the other of the first and second rings. This amendment has changed the scope of the claims.